



OFFICE OF THE CLERK

**Supreme Court of Wisconsin**

110 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 215

P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WI 53701-1688

TELEPHONE (608) 266-1880

FACSIMILE (608) 267-0640

Web Site: [www.wicourts.gov](http://www.wicourts.gov)

July 15, 2021

**To:**

Hon. Stephen E. Ehlke  
Circuit Court Judge  
Branch 15  
215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 7107  
Madison, WI 53703

Carlo Esqueda  
Clerk of Circuit Court  
Dane County Courthouse  
215 S. Hamilton St., Rm. 1000  
Madison, WI 53703

Lester A. Pines  
Aaron Dumas  
Tamara Packard  
Beauregard William Patterson  
Pines Bach LLP  
122 W. Washington Avenue  
Suite 900  
Madison, WI 53703

Misha Tseytlin  
Kevin M. LeRoy  
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP  
227 W. Monroe Street, Suite 3900  
Chicago, IL 60606

Jeffrey A. Mandell  
Douglas M. Poland  
Stafford Rosenbaum LLP  
P.O. Box 1784  
Madison, WI 53701-1784

Mel Barnes  
Law Forward, Inc.  
P.O. Box 326  
Madison, WI 53703

You are hereby notified that the Court has entered the following order:

---

---

No. 2021AP802

Waity v. LeMahieu L.C. #2021CV589

On June 30, 2021, defendants-appellants, Devin LeMahieu and Robin Vos, in their official capacities, filed an expedited petition for bypass of the court of appeals in this matter. On July 7, 2021, plaintiffs-respondents, Andrew Waity, et al., filed a brief in opposition to the expedited petition for bypass. On July 8, 2021, the court granted the motion of the Wisconsin Democracy Campaign to file a non-party memorandum amicus curiae in opposition to the petition for bypass and accepted the memorandum for filing. On July 9, 2021, the court granted the defendants-appellants' motion to file a proposed reply memorandum in support of the expedited petition for bypass. In addition, because the expedited petition for bypass did not contain a listing of the issues

presented for review, on July 9, 2021, the court ordered the defendants-appellants to file a supplement to their expedited petition for bypass identifying the issues presented for review. The defendants-appellants-petitioners filed a supplement later that day identifying five issues presented for review. On that same date, the court granted the plaintiffs-respondents' motion to file a response to the supplement to the expedited petition for bypass. The response also identified five issues. Although the parties' wording of the issues is not identical, the substance of the issues in both submissions is the same. Upon consideration of the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that the expedited petition to bypass is granted, and the appeal is accepted for consideration in this court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within 30 days of the date of this order, the defendants-appellants-petitioners must file a brief in this court; within 20 days of filing, plaintiffs-respondents must file a response brief; and within 10 days of filing of the response brief, the defendants-appellants-petitioners must file either a reply brief or a statement that no reply brief will be filed; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will be notified of the date and time for oral argument in this appeal in due course.

---

---

Sheila T. Reiff  
Clerk of Supreme Court